

Appl. No. : 10/668,886

Amdt. dated : 2/26/2005


Reply to office action of 12/03/2004

Remarks / Arguments

As to this amendment , my new claim # 7 . I believe you will say it falls under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) with regards to either Kalkbrenner et. al. or Tabbert however I would argue , look at the drawings of each , read each ones abstract for what they are designed to do. They are obviously different tools designed to do different jobs. For my closing I would offer this analogy : The wing of a bird and that of an insect while similar in function are dissimilar in parts and structure.

Respectfully submitted

By



John M. Heacock

Tel.: 502-921-1946